STIPULATION AND STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND SUBMISSION OF JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT

CIV.S-04-1208 GEB KJM

1-\$F/7289718.1

Michael Brodeur, Bill Vervalin, Jacob Vervalin and Kerm Hansen ("Defendants") (together as the "Parties") hereby STIPULATE AND AGREE, pursuant to Local Rule 83-141, as follows:

- A. Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment (the "Motion") which was scheduled for hearing on October 24, 2005. The Court has taken Defendants' Motion under submission, and the Parties are awaiting a ruling on the Motion.
- B. Pursuant to the Court's November 5, 2004 Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order, the final Pretrial Conference in this case is scheduled for Monday, December 12, 2005. Pursuant to the Court's Order, the Parties must submit their Joint Pretrial Statement, and related documents, to the Court no later than Monday, December 5, 2005. The deadline for submission of the Joint Pretrial Statement and related documents is, as of the filling of this Stipulation, only six (6) business days away.
- C. The Parties jointly desire to continue the dates for the submission of their Joint Pretrial Statement and the final Pretrial Conference to dates which are at least 2 and 3 weeks, respectively, *after* the issuance of the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion.
- D. Good cause exists in this case for this continuance based upon the following: The Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion will determine the factual and legal issues, if any, to be addressed in the Joint Pretrial Statement. The Parties will need to devote a significant amount of time and expense to prepare the Joint Pretrial Statement and the related materials which must be submitted. If the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion does not dispose of the entire case, the Parties have only 6 or less business days before the date on which the Joint Pretrial Statement and related documents must be submitted. Prior to issuance of the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion, the Parties wish to avoid unnecessary expense associated with preparing the Joint Pretrial Statement. Even if the Court were to issue its ruling on the Motion within the next day or so, the remaining time is insufficient to prepare the Joint Pretrial Statement and related submissions.
- E. Continuance of the final Pretrial Conference will serve the interests of all Parties in the litigation and not otherwise affect the Court's established schedule in this case or the affect the March 26, 2005 trial date.
- F. Neither of the Parties' counsel is available on Monday, December 26, 2005 or 1-SF//289718.1 2 CIV.S-04-1208 GEB KJM

1	Monday, January 3, 2006 because of the holidays and therefore request that these deadlines be
2	continued to dates other than these.
3	2.1
4	Dated: November 21, 2005 RANDY ANDRUS
5	By Belgh Hudus
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff
7	
8	aa
9	Dated: November 2005 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
10	By Cui Michille
11	Eric Meckley Attorneys for Defendants
12	
13	ORDER
14	Based upon the above stipulation and for good cause appearing, this Court hereby
15	modifies the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order in this matter in the following respect: The final
16	Pretrial Conference will be held on Jan. 9, 2006, at 2:30 pand the Joint Pretrial
17	Statement will be due on Jan 3. 2006
18	IT IS SO ORDERED:
19	Dated: 11/30 , 2005 failed famely
20	Hon. Garland E. Burrell, Ir. United States District Nidge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
40	1-5F/7289718.1 3 CIV.S-04-1208 GEB KIM
	STIPULATION AND STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND SUBMISSION OF JOINT PRETRIAL STATEMENT